



PRIVATE TRANSPARENCY REPORT

2023

Argos Wityu Partners SA

Generated 15-12-2023

About this report

PRI reporting is the largest global reporting project on responsible investment. It was developed with investors, for investors.

PRI signatories are required to report publicly on their responsible investment activities each year. In turn, they receive a number of outputs, including a public and private Transparency Report.

The private Transparency Reports, which are produced using signatories' reported information, support signatories to have internal discussions about their practices. Signatories can also choose to make these available to clients, beneficiaries, and other stakeholders.

This private Transparency Report is an export of your responses to the PRI Reporting Framework during the 2023 reporting period. It includes all responses (public and private) to core and plus indicators.

In response to signatory feedback, the PRI has not summarised your responses – the information in this document is presented exactly as it was reported.

For each of the indicators in this document, all options that you selected are presented, including links and qualitative responses. In some indicators, all applicable options are included for additional context.

Disclaimers

Responsible investment definitions

Within the PRI Reporting Framework Glossary, we provide definitions for key terms to guide reporting on responsible investment practices in the Reporting Framework. These definitions may differ from those used or proposed by other authorities and regulatory bodies due to evolving industry perspectives and changing legislative landscapes. Users of this report should be aware of these variations, as they may impact interpretations of the information provided.

Data accuracy

This document presents information reported directly by signatories in the 2023 reporting cycle. This information has not been audited by the PRI or any other party acting on its behalf. While this information is believed to be reliable, no representations or warranties are made as to the accuracy of the information presented.

The PRI has taken reasonable action to ensure that data submitted by signatories in the reporting tool is reflected in their official PRI reports accurately. However, it is possible that small data inaccuracies and/or gaps remain, and the PRI shall not be responsible or liable for such inaccuracies and gaps.

Table of Contents

Module	Page
SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)	4
ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)	8
POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)	15
PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)	34
CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)	43

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT (SLS)

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

SENIOR LEADERSHIP STATEMENT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
SLS 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Senior Leadership Statement	GENERAL

Section 1. Our commitment

- Why does your organisation engage in responsible investment?
- What is your organisation's overall approach to responsible investment, and what major responsible investment commitment(s) have you made?

Argos Wityu's commitment to responsible investment is deeply rooted in our recognition of the substantial benefits it brings. Well before ESG considerations gained widespread attention within the private equity sector, we recognized the potential of corporate social responsibility to enhance growth prospects, improve risk management, reduce resource consumption, and increase operational efficiency. This understanding led us to establish Argos Wityu with ESG as a core principle, viewing it not only as a responsible choice but also as a source of innovation and new opportunities.

Our approach at Argos is hands-on, with a central focus on seamlessly integrating ESG principles into our investment process and daily operations. We strive to make responsible investment standard practice within our organization. One of our significant commitments is the dedicated climate fund, which underscores our dedication to reducing greenhouse gas emissions and addressing climate change as a core element of our responsible investment strategy.

Moreover, this year, we have expanded our efforts to promote diversity and inclusion, exemplified by the launch of training programs and a strengthened commitment to fostering a diverse and inclusive workforce. These initiatives have led to a more dynamic and innovative environment.

To ensure the successful integration of ESG principles, we've established an ESG committee that includes members from various teams and countries, fostering a collaborative approach that leverages diverse expertise and perspectives. Investment teams are already recognizing the value-added of ESG actions, and we actively engage with our portfolio companies through initiatives like our Climate Academy, ESG Club, and pragmatic workshops.

In summary, Argos Wityu's responsible investment approach is characterized by a deep commitment to realizing the advantages of ESG principles, both for our organization and society at large. We are actively working to drive positive change, reduce environmental impact, and create a more inclusive and sustainable future.

Section 2. Annual overview

- Discuss your organisation's progress during the reporting year on the responsible investment issue you consider most relevant or material to your organisation or its assets.
- Reflect on your performance with respect to your organisation's responsible investment objectives and targets during the reporting year. Details might include, for example, outlining your single most important achievement or describing your general progress on topics such as the following (where applicable):
 - refinement of ESG analysis and incorporation
 - stewardship activities with investees and/or with policymakers
 - collaborative engagements
 - attainment of responsible investment certifications and/or awards

In 2022, Argos Wityu made significant progress in aligning its investment practices with responsible and sustainable objectives. Here is a breakdown of the key achievements and progress made during the reporting year in relation to the organization's responsible investment objectives and targets:

ESG Strategy:

Argos Wityu took a major step by refining its ESG strategy. This involved setting clear goals, targets, and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) to incorporate sustainability practices into the firm's operations and portfolio companies. Our ESG strategy will support in effectively managing risks, meeting investor demand, complying with regulatory requirements, enhancing value creation, driving positive change within portfolio companies, and ensuring long-term sustainability by aligning investment decisions with responsible practices and societal impact. As our people play a fundamental role within the firm, we actively pursue our employees' well-being by implementing and updating initiatives which add to mental and physical health.

ESG Commitments:

Argos Wityu has recognised decarbonisation as a key action for each portfolio company. Each company we support is required to measure the current level of its carbon footprint and define targets to further reduce this level over the next couple of years. To support our portfolio companies in the best possible way, we undertook several initiatives such as being an ICI signatory, UN Global Compact signatory, and historic members of Invest Europe and Invest France, as well as committed to setting Science-Based Targets.

ESG Policies:

Argos' commitment towards sustainability is driven by its policies. Our ESG policies promote sustainable and responsible business practices, support to manage risks, attract investments, improve financial performance, enhance reputation, ensure regulatory compliance, and align with stakeholders' expectations. The key ESG policies include: (1) responsible investment policy, (2) climate policy, (3) inclusion and diversity policy, (4) stewardship & engagement policy, and (5) travel policy.

Responsible Investment Approach:

Argos Wityu has embedded ESG considerations into its investment process, beginning with the screening phase and continuing through due diligence, the investment period, and even the exit phase. This comprehensive approach involves identifying risks, conducting ESG reviews with external advisors, assessing greenhouse gas emissions, collecting a broad set of KPIs, and actively engaging with portfolio companies to ensure ESG action plans are followed.

Climate Action Fund:

A significant highlight of 2022 was the launch of the Climate Action fund. This fund is a testament to Argos Wityu's commitment to transforming European companies into sustainable industry leaders. Leveraging its 30 years of experience in this realm, the fund focuses on driving the "Grey to Green" transition, with a clear target of reducing portfolio companies' greenhouse gas emissions by at least 7.5% annually.

Investment Team Learning and Development:

Argos Wityu invested significantly in the education of its investment teams. Allocating a training budget per Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) of €1080 demonstrates the organization's commitment to personal growth and skill development. This includes specific training on climate change and initiatives aimed at promoting diversity and gender equality.

Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI): Argos Wityu launched a dedicated Inclusion & Diversity taskforce, reinforcing its commitment to diversity and gender equality. Initiatives to promote equal opportunities in recruitment, career progression, and work-life balance are actively being pursued.

Climate Change: The Argos Climate Academy provides employees with a dedicated platform to enhance their knowledge of sustainability topics, including climate change, adaptation, mitigation measures, energy efficiency, and circular economy. Additionally, it also includes a section on legal and regulatory updates, keeping the team informed about relevant directives.

ESG Club:

To foster sustainability performance within portfolio companies, Argos Wityu established an ESG Team that meets quarterly with sustainability ambassadors from each company. These meetings facilitate the sharing of best practices and the identification of common challenges. The ultimate goal is to empower companies to independently set sustainability action plans, targets, and reporting mechanisms.

ESG Award:

Argos Wityu's dedication to ESG excellence was recognized when it received the ESG Deal of the Year award presented by RealDeals.

Collaborative Engagements:

Argos Wityu's active participation in the ESCP Corporate Network reflects its commitment to collaborative efforts aimed at advancing responsible and sustainable business practices.

Section 3. Next steps

- What specific steps has your organisation outlined to advance your commitment to responsible investment in the next two years?

Argos Wityu has outlined specific steps to advance its commitment to responsible investment in the next two years, both at the portfolio level and firm level. These steps reflect the organization's dedication to incorporating sustainability principles into its investment practices and overall operations.

At the portfolio level, Argos Wityu has taken an advanced approach for the pre-investment and during the investment phases: In the pre-investment phase, the organization is conducting a comprehensive climate risk assessment, considering both physical and transitional risks (according to the TCFD framework). This assessment will involve scenario analysis, allowing for a deeper understanding on how climate change may impact investments. By incorporating climate risk assessments into investment decision-making, we aim to proactively manage these risks and identify potential opportunities arising from climate-related factors. In addition to climate risks, Argos Wityu will also undertake a deep dive into human rights considerations, ensuring that investments align with human rights principles. Lastly, Argos Wityu will update its due diligence process to assess the potential biodiversity risks and the impact on ecosystems, species and habitats.

During the investment phase, Argos Wityu aims to enhance the sustainability performance of its portfolio companies. By the end of 2023, all portfolio companies must report on their sustainable performance through the EcoVadis assessment. This initiative aims to enhance reporting practices and contributes to more accurate, transparent, and credible sustainability reporting. Additionally, Argos Wityu plans to implement sustainability-linked bonuses as of 2024 to incentivize sustainable practices within portfolio companies. We will focus on not only increasing the percentage of the bonus but also raising the quality of requirements for achieving this bonus. Lastly, Argos Wityu will incorporate additional environmental and social impact assessments into its investment process to evaluate potential biodiversity risks, such as habitat destruction, pollution, and unsustainable resource extraction as well as integrating biodiversity metrics and targets to help track progress, identify risks, and guide decision-making.

As of 2024, all portfolio companies will start with publishing a sustainability report, even for those companies not yet in scope of the CSRD. Argos Wityu is engaging with portfolio companies on supporting the understanding and requirements for future reporting. We are convinced that the quality of reporting will improve significantly in the first two years, thus preparing the companies in time for the requirements of the CSRD and accompanying ESRs.

At the firm level, Argos Wityu is committed to advancing diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI) by implementing comprehensive initiatives and setting measurable goals for diverse representation at all levels of the organization. Furthermore, the organization aims to integrate ESG as a value creator rather than maintaining it as a standalone team, ensuring that ESG considerations are seamlessly woven into decision-making processes and operations.

To increase the understanding of our efforts, an impact analysis framework will be introduced. The need to understand the value added is becoming more and more important as we are moving away from solely risk management.

Section 4. Endorsement

'The Senior Leadership Statement has been prepared and/or reviewed by the undersigned and reflects our organisation-wide commitment and approach to responsible investment'.

Name

Louis Godron

Position

Managing Partner

Organisation's Name

Argos Wityu Partners SA

A

'This endorsement applies only to the Senior Leadership Statement and should not be considered an endorsement of the information reported by the above-mentioned organisation in the various modules of the Reporting Framework. The Senior Leadership Statement serves as a general overview of the above-mentioned organisation's responsible investment approach. The Senior Leadership Statement does not constitute advice and should not be relied upon as such. Further, it is not a substitute for the skill, judgement and experience of any third parties, their management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions'.

B

ORGANISATIONAL OVERVIEW (OO)

ORGANISATIONAL INFORMATION

REPORTING YEAR

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 1	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Reporting year	GENERAL

What is the year-end date of the 12-month period you have chosen to report for PRI reporting purposes?

	Date	Month	Year
Year-end date of the 12-month period for PRI reporting purposes:	31	12	2022

SUBSIDIARY INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2	CORE	N/A	OO 2.1	PRIVATE	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Does your organisation have subsidiaries?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 2.1	CORE	OO 2	OO 2.2	PRIVATE	Subsidiary information	GENERAL

Are any of your organisation's subsidiaries PRI signatories in their own right?

- (A) Yes
- (B) No

ASSETS UNDER MANAGEMENT

ALL ASSET CLASSES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 4	CORE	OO 3	N/A	PRIVATE	All asset classes	GENERAL

What are your total assets under management (AUM) at the end of the reporting year, as indicated in [OO 1]?

USD

(A) AUM of your organisation, including subsidiaries, and excluding the AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 1,646,356,727.00

(B) AUM of subsidiaries that are PRI signatories in their own right and excluded from this submission, as indicated in [OO 2.2]

US\$ 0.00

(C) AUM subject to execution, advisory, custody, or research advisory only

US\$ 0.00

ASSET BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5	CORE	OO 3	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown	GENERAL

Provide a percentage breakdown of your total AUM at the end of the reporting year as indicated in [OO 1].

	(1) Percentage of Internally managed AUM	(2) Percentage of Externally managed AUM
(A) Listed equity	0%	0%
(B) Fixed income	0%	0%
(C) Private equity	100%	0%
(D) Real estate	0%	0%
(E) Infrastructure	0%	0%
(F) Hedge funds	0%	0%
(G) Forestry	0%	0%
(H) Farmland	0%	0%
(I) Other	0%	0%
(J) Off-balance sheet	0%	0%

ASSET BREAKDOWN: INTERNALLY MANAGED PRIVATE EQUITY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 5.3 PE	CORE	OO 5	N/A	PRIVATE	Asset breakdown: Internally managed private equity	GENERAL

Provide a further breakdown of your internally managed private equity AUM.

(A) Venture capital	0%
(B) Growth capital	0%
(C) (Leveraged) buy-out	100%

(D) Distressed, turnaround or special situations 0%

(E) Secondaries 0%

(F) Other 0%

GEOGRAPHICAL BREAKDOWN

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 7	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	N/A	PRIVATE	Geographical breakdown	GENERAL

How much of your AUM in each asset class is invested in emerging markets and developing economies?

AUM in Emerging Markets and Developing Economies

(F) Private equity (1) 0%

STEWARDSHIP

STEWARDSHIP

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 8	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Stewardship	GENERAL

Does your organisation conduct stewardship activities, excluding (proxy) voting, for any of your assets?

(5) Private equity

(A) Yes, through internal staff

(B) Yes, through service providers

(C) Yes, through external managers

(D) We do not conduct stewardship

ESG INCORPORATION

INTERNALLY MANAGED ASSETS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 11	CORE	Multiple, see guidance	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Internally managed assets	1

For each internally managed asset class, does your organisation incorporate ESG factors into your investment decisions?

(1) Yes, we incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(2) No, we do not incorporate ESG factors into our investment decisions

(I) Private equity

ESG/SUSTAINABILITY FUNDS AND PRODUCTS

LABELLING AND MARKETING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 18	CORE	OO 11–14	OO 18.1	PRIVATE	Labelling and marketing	1

Do you explicitly market any of your products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable?

(A) Yes, we market products and/or funds as ESG and/or sustainable

Provide the percentage of AUM that your ESG and/or sustainability-marketed products or funds represent:

0%

- (B) No, we do not offer products or funds explicitly marketed as ESG and/or sustainable
- (C) Not applicable; we do not offer products or funds

Additional information: (Voluntary)

We have closed our first fundraise for our SFDR Article 9 Climate Impact fund (120M out of targetted 300M). Therefore the % is at 0% now as a first investment is yet to be made.

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

SUMMARY OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 21	CORE	Multiple indicators	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Summary of reporting requirements	GENERAL

The following table shows which modules are mandatory or voluntary to report on in the separate PRI asset class modules. Where a module is voluntary, indicate if you wish to report on it.

Applicable modules	(1) Mandatory to report (pre-filled based on previous responses)	(2.1) Voluntary to report. Yes, I want to opt-in to reporting on the module	(2.2) Voluntary to report. No, I want to opt-out of reporting on the module
Policy, Governance and Strategy	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Confidence Building Measures	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(I) Private equity	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

OTHER ASSET BREAKDOWNS

PRIVATE EQUITY: SECTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 22	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Private equity: Sectors	GENERAL

In which sector(s) are your internally managed private equity assets invested?

- (A) Energy
- (B) Materials
- (C) Industrials
- (D) Consumer discretionary
- (E) Consumer staples
- (F) Healthcare
- (G) Financials
- (H) Information technology
- (I) Communication services
- (J) Utilities
- (K) Real estate

PRIVATE EQUITY: OWNERSHIP LEVEL

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 23	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Private equity: Ownership level	GENERAL

What is the percentage breakdown of your internally managed private equity investments by the level of ownership?

(A) A majority stake (more than 50%)

Select from the list:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75%

(B) A significant minority stake (between 10–50%)

(C) A limited minority stake (less than 10%)

SUBMISSION INFORMATION

REPORT DISCLOSURE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
OO 31	CORE	Reporting status	N/A	PRIVATE	Report disclosure	GENERAL

Your organisation is in its voluntary reporting period; do you wish to make your responses available to the public?

(A) Yes, publish all responses to Core indicators and any Plus indicators that are indicated for publication

(B) No, keep all our responses private for this year

POLICY, GOVERNANCE AND STRATEGY (PGS)

POLICY

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY ELEMENTS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 1	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Responsible investment policy elements	1, 2

Which elements are covered in your formal responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment
- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors
- (C) Guidelines on social factors
- (D) Guidelines on governance factors
- (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes
- (F) Guidelines tailored to the specific asset class(es) we hold
- (G) Guidelines on exclusions
- (H) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (I) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- (J) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- (K) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- (M) Other responsible investment elements not listed here

Specify:

Argos Wityu introduced a travel policy in 2022 which encourages team members to avoid flying if the one-way door-to-door travel duration is below 4:00h.

Argos Wityu introduced a remuneration policy that is in line with the requirements of the AIFM Directive as well as article 5 of the SFDR on the transparency of remuneration policies.

Argo Wityu does engage with other stakeholders such as universities, but this is not translated in any guidelines.

- (N) Our organisation does not have a formal responsible investment policy and/or our policy(ies) do not cover any responsible investment elements

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 2	CORE	PGS 1	Multiple, see guidance	PRIVATE	Responsible investment policy elements	1

Does your formal responsible investment policy(ies) include specific guidelines on systematic sustainability issues?

- (A) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)
- (B) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)
- (C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues

Specify:

Argos Wityu's commits to promoting and supporting inclusivity in both our firm and portfolio companies, and prevents discrimination and fostering equal opportunities through equal treatment between men and women in recruitment, career path, and work-life balance as described in the Diversity and Inclusion policy.

In our overall general investment approach, we indicate to follow dedicated subcategory guidelines which include checklists, and surveys as well as the definition of the ESG due diligence scope.

- (D) Our formal responsible investment policy(ies) does not include guidelines on systematic sustainability issues

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 3	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2	N/A	PRIVATE	Responsible investment policy elements	6

Which elements of your formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available?

- (A) Overall approach to responsible investment**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

- (B) Guidelines on environmental factors**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Climate_Policy.pdf

- (C) Guidelines on social factors**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

- (D) Guidelines on governance factors**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

- (E) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

- (F) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Climate_Policy.pdf

- (G) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Our_Journey_To_Inclusion.pdf

- (H) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Our_Journey_To_Inclusion.pdf

- (J) Guidelines on exclusions**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wity_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf

- (K) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment
- (L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees
- (M) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement
- (N) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders
- (P) **Other responsible investment aspects not listed here**

Add link:

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wity_Remuneration_Policy.pdf

- (Q) No elements of our formal responsible investment policy(ies) are publicly available

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 5	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PRIVATE	Responsible investment policy elements	2

Which elements are covered in your organisation’s policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship?

- (A) **Overall stewardship objectives**
- (B) **Prioritisation of specific ESG factors to be advanced via stewardship activities**
- (C) Criteria used by our organisation to prioritise the investees, policy makers, key stakeholders, or other entities on which to focus our stewardship efforts
- (D) **How different stewardship tools and activities are used across the organisation**
- (E) **Approach to escalation in stewardship**
- (F) **Approach to collaboration in stewardship**
- (G) **Conflicts of interest related to stewardship**
- (H) **How stewardship efforts and results are communicated across the organisation to feed into investment decision-making and vice versa**
- (I) Other
- (J) None of the above elements is captured in our policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship

RESPONSIBLE INVESTMENT POLICY COVERAGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 8	CORE	PGS 1	N/A	PRIVATE	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What percentage of your total AUM is covered by the below elements of your responsible investment policy(ies)?

Combined AUM coverage of all policy elements

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment
 (B) Guidelines on environmental factors (7) 100%
 (C) Guidelines on social factors
 (D) Guidelines on governance factors

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 9	CORE	PGS 2	N/A	PRIVATE	Responsible investment policy coverage	1

What proportion of your AUM is covered by your formal policies or guidelines on climate change, human rights, or other systematic sustainability issues?

AUM coverage

(A) Specific guidelines on climate change (1) for all of our AUM

(B) Specific guidelines on human rights (1) for all of our AUM

(C) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues (1) for all of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 10	CORE	OO 8, OO 9, PGS 1	N/A	PRIVATE	Responsible investment policy coverage	2

Per asset class, what percentage of your AUM is covered by your policy(ies) or guidelines on stewardship with investees?

(C) Private equity

(1) Percentage of AUM covered

- (1) >0% to 10%
- (2) >10% to 20%
- (3) >20% to 30%
- (4) >30% to 40%
- (5) >40% to 50%
- (6) >50% to 60%
- (7) >60% to 70%
- (8) >70% to 80%
- (9) >80% to 90%
- (10) >90% to <100%
- (11) 100%

GOVERNANCE

ROLES AND RESPONSIBILITIES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Roles and responsibilities	1

Which senior level body(ies) or role(s) in your organisation have formal oversight over and accountability for responsible investment?

- (A) Board members, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) Senior executive-level staff, or equivalent

Specify:

ESG Committee includes Heads of Departments and Partners from all offices. They meet each quarter to follow up on the ESG action plan/strategy. The ESG Committee reports to the Board as well as to the IC on a monthly basis.

- (C) Investment committee, or equivalent
- (D) Head of department, or equivalent
- (E) None of the above bodies and roles have oversight over and accountability for responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.1	CORE	PGS 1, PGS 2, PGS 11	N/A	PRIVATE	Roles and responsibilities	1, 2

Does your organisation's senior level body(ies) or role(s) have formal oversight over and accountability for the elements covered in your responsible investment policy(ies)?

(1) Board members, trustees, or equivalent

(2) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent

(A) Overall approach to responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(B) Guidelines on environmental, social and/or governance factors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(C) Guidelines on sustainability outcomes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(D) Specific guidelines on climate change (may be part of guidelines on environmental factors)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(E) Specific guidelines on human rights (may be part of guidelines on social factors)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(F) Specific guidelines on other systematic sustainability issues	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(H) Guidelines on exclusions	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(I) Guidelines on managing conflicts of interest related to responsible investment	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(J) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with investees	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(K) Stewardship: Guidelines on overall political engagement	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(L) Stewardship: Guidelines on engagement with other key stakeholders	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

(N) This role has no formal oversight over and accountability for any of the above elements covered in our responsible investment policy(ies)

○

●

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 11.2	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Roles and responsibilities	1 – 6

Does your organisation have governance processes or structures to ensure that your overall political engagement is aligned with your commitment to the principles of PRI, including any political engagement conducted by third parties on your behalf?

(A) Yes

Describe how you do this:

We are part of dedicated industry groups, associations, and are in close contact with key policy makers in France, and Italy. Through our participation we provide critical feedback on draft legislation, take part in consultation request, and challenge current proposals on national and European level (with a focus on SFDR, EU Taxonomy, and CSRD). We also engage through sharing our strategy and best practices with the EIB.

(B) No

(C) Not applicable, our organisation does not conduct any form of political engagement directly or through any third parties

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 12	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Roles and responsibilities	1

In your organisation, which internal or external roles are responsible for implementing your approach to responsible investment?

(A) Internal role(s)

Specify:

Head of ESG is responsible for integrating ESG approach according to our RI and criteria.

Also, our Head of ESG oversees the implementation of the ESG strategy, provides expert advice and support for ESG strategy implementation, and collects quarterly KPIs during ownership.

(B) External investment managers, service providers, or other external partners or suppliers

(C) We do not have any internal or external roles with responsibility for implementing responsible investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 13	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PRIVATE	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your board members, trustees, or equivalent?

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our board members, trustees, or equivalent**

Explain why: (Voluntary)

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 14	CORE	PGS 11	N/A	PRIVATE	Roles and responsibilities	1

Does your organisation use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of your senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and are these KPIs linked to compensation?

- (A) Yes, we use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)**

Indicate whether these responsible investment KPIs are linked to compensation

- (1) KPIs are linked to compensation**

- (2) KPIs are not linked to compensation as these roles do not have variable compensation
- (3) KPIs are not linked to compensation even though these roles have variable compensation

Describe: (Voluntary)

25% of climate targets are linked to carried interests.

- (B) No, we do not use responsible investment KPIs to evaluate the performance of our senior executive-level staff (or equivalent)

EXTERNAL REPORTING AND DISCLOSURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 16	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	External reporting and disclosures	6

What elements are included in your regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of your AUM?

- (A) Any changes in policies related to responsible investment
- (B) Any changes in governance or oversight related to responsible investment
- (C) Stewardship-related commitments
- (D) Progress towards stewardship-related commitments
- (E) Climate-related commitments
- (F) Progress towards climate-related commitments
- (G) Human rights-related commitments
- (H) Progress towards human rights-related commitments
- (I) Commitments to other systematic sustainability issues
- (J) Progress towards commitments on other systematic sustainability issues
- (K) We do not include any of these elements in our regular reporting to clients and/or beneficiaries for the majority of our AUM

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 17	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose climate-related information in line with the Task Force on Climate-Related Financial Disclosures' (TCFD) recommendations?

- (A) Yes, including all governance-related recommended disclosures
- (B) Yes, including all strategy-related recommended disclosures
- (C) Yes, including all risk management-related recommended disclosures
- (D) Yes, including all applicable metrics and targets-related recommended disclosures
- (E) None of the above

Add link(s):

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_ESG_Report_2022.pdf
https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf
<https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/argos-wityu-art-29-report-final.pdf>
<https://argos.wityu.fund/sustainability/>

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 19	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	External reporting and disclosures	6

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose its membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement?

(A) Yes, we publicly disclosed our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement

Add link(s):

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_ESG_Report_2022.pdf

<https://www.investeurope.eu/>

<https://investinfrance.fr/>

<https://www.international-climate-initiative.com/en/>

- (B) No, we did not publicly disclose our membership in and support for trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement
- (C) Not applicable, we were not members in or supporters of any trade associations, think tanks, or similar bodies that conduct any form of political engagement during the reporting year

STRATEGY

CAPITAL ALLOCATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 20	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Capital allocation	1

Which elements do your organisation-level exclusions cover?

- (A) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular sectors, products or services
- (B) Exclusions based on our organisation's values or beliefs regarding particular regions or countries
- (C) Exclusions based on minimum standards of business practice aligned with international norms such as the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises, the International Bill of Human Rights, UN Security Council sanctions or the UN Global Compact
- (D) Exclusions based on our organisation's climate change commitments
- (E) Other elements
- (F) Not applicable; our organisation does not have any organisation-level exclusions

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 21	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Capital allocation	1

How does your responsible investment approach influence your strategic asset allocation process?

(A) We incorporate ESG factors into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

(B) We incorporate climate change–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

(C) We incorporate human rights–related risks and opportunities into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

(D) We incorporate risks and opportunities related to other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns

Select from dropdown list:

- (1) for all of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation**
- (2) for a majority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation
- (3) for a minority of our AUM subject to strategic asset allocation

Specify: (Voluntary)

- (E) We do not incorporate ESG factors, climate change, human rights or other systematic sustainability issues into our assessment of expected asset class risks and returns
- (F) Not applicable; we do not have a strategic asset allocation process

STEWARDSHIP: OVERALL STEWARDSHIP STRATEGY

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 22	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	N/A	PRIVATE	Stewardship: Overall stewardship strategy	2

For the majority of AUM within each asset class, which of the following best describes your primary stewardship objective?

(3) Private equity

(A) Maximise our portfolio-level risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.



(B) Maximise our individual investments' risk-adjusted returns. In doing so, we do not seek to address any risks to overall portfolio performance caused by individual investees' contribution to systematic sustainability issues.



STEWARDSHIP: ENGAGEMENT WITH POLICY MAKERS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39	CORE	OO 8, OO 9	PGS 39.1, PGS 39.2	PRIVATE	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

Did your organisation, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach during the reporting year?

(A) Yes, we engaged with policy makers directly

- (B) Yes, we engaged with policy makers through the leadership of or active participation in working groups or collaborative initiatives, including via the PRI
- (C) Yes, we were members of, supported, or were in another way affiliated with third party organisations, including trade associations and non-profit organisations, that engage with policy makers, excluding the PRI
- (D) We did not engage with policy makers directly or indirectly during the reporting year beyond our membership in the PRI

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.1	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PRIVATE	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, what methods did you, or the external investment managers or service providers acting on your behalf, use to engage with policy makers as part of your responsible investment approach?

- (A) We participated in 'sign-on' letters
- (B) We responded to policy consultations
- (C) We provided technical input via government- or regulator-backed working groups

Describe:

Through our active participation in invest europe, france invest, ICI, and SBTi working groups, we have provided our feedback on ESRs EFRAG; SFRD; CSRD, and SBTi industry (draft) standards. Also, a dedicated request for insights was provided to the EIF for their understanding of the use of EU Taxonomy in investment decisions.

- (D) We engaged policy makers on our own initiative
- (E) Other methods

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 39.2	CORE	PGS 39	N/A	PRIVATE	Stewardship: Engagement with policy makers	2

During the reporting year, did your organisation publicly disclose details of your engagement with policy makers conducted as part of your responsible investment approach, including through external investment managers or service providers?

- (A) We publicly disclosed all our policy positions

Add link(s):

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Engagement_And_Voting_Rights_Policy.pdf

- (B) We publicly disclosed details of our engagements with policy makers
- (C) No, we did not publicly disclose details of our engagement with policy makers conducted as part of our responsible investment approach during the reporting year

CLIMATE CHANGE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41	CORE	N/A	PGS 41.1	PRIVATE	Climate change	General

Has your organisation identified climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments?

(A) Yes, within our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

We have integrated climate risk assessments which look at physical and transition risks.

(B) Yes, beyond our standard planning horizon

Specify the risks and opportunities identified and your relevant standard planning horizon:

We also integrated scenario-based analysis that looks at the medium- and long-term.

- (C) No, we have not identified climate-related risks and/or opportunities affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 41.1	CORE	PGS 41	N/A	PRIVATE	Climate change	General

Does your organisation integrate climate-related risks and opportunities affecting your investments in its overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products?

(A) Yes, our overall investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products integrate climate-related risks and opportunities

Describe how climate-related risks and opportunities have affected or are expected to affect your investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products:

It has proven that in some cases further insights into allocating future capex and opex is needed as red flags during DD have emerged. For example, contingency plans, larger investments and/or carve-out opportunities have been explored.

- (B) No, our organisation has not yet integrated climate-related risks and opportunities into its investment strategy, financial planning and (if relevant) products

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 43	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Climate change	General

Has your organisation assessed the resilience of its investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one in which the average temperature rise is held to below 2 degrees Celsius (preferably to 1.5 degrees Celsius) above pre-industrial levels?

- (A) Yes, using the Inevitable Policy Response Forecast Policy Scenario (FPS) or Required Policy Scenario (RPS)
- (B) Yes, using the One Earth Climate Model scenario
- (C) Yes, using the International Energy Agency (IEA) Net Zero scenario
- (D) Yes, using other scenarios

Specify:

TCFD

- (E) No, we have not assessed the resilience of our investment strategy in different climate scenarios, including one that holds temperature rise to below 2 degrees

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 44	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Climate change	General

Does your organisation have a process to identify, assess, and manage the climate-related risks (potentially) affecting your investments?

(A) Yes, we have a process to identify and assess climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

In the pre-investment phase, we perform a mandatory ESG Due Diligence. After the potential risks are identified, a scenario analysis is performed to understand how different climate scenarios would affect the portfolio company's operations, supply chain, and financial performance. Lastly, a materiality assessment is performed to identify which climate-related risks are material to the portfolio company and thus would have the most significant impact on the financial condition, operating performance, and strategic decision-making of the portfolio company.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

As a first step, Argos Wityu conducts thorough due diligence to identify and assess ESG risks associated with potential investments, considering factors such as climate change, resource depletion, labor practices, and government structures which takes place pre-investment.

(B) Yes, we have a process to manage climate-related risks

(1) Describe your process

The identified climate-related risks are integrated into the overall governance and strategy by defining a sustainability action plan that is based on the ESG Due Diligence, EcoVadis results, and GHG assessment. During the holding period, the impact of these risks on the valuation are monitored and the action plan is modified where needed. Additionally, portfolio companies are encouraged to publish ESG report at the end of the year. Lastly, we engage regularly with the management of the portfolio companies to communicate their climate risk management efforts and to address question and concerns they may have.

(2) Describe how this process is integrated into your overall risk management

The climate-related risks are managed during the holding period through an annual EcoVadis assessment, KPI gathering, sustainability reports, etc. Argos Wityu actively engages with portfolio companies, encouraging them to adopt sustainable business practices and mitigate identified ESG risks. Through regular monitoring and reporting, Argos Wityu ensures ongoing accountability and transparency regarding ESG performance.

- (C) No, we do not have any processes to identify, assess, or manage the climate-related risks affecting our investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 45	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, which of the following climate risk metrics or variables affecting your investments did your organisation use and disclose?

- (A) Exposure to physical risk
- (B) Exposure to transition risk
- (C) Internal carbon price
- (D) Total carbon emissions**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed**
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable
 - <https://argos.wityu.fund/sustainability/>
- (E) Weighted average carbon intensity**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology**
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable
 - https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_Responsible_Investment_Policy.pdf
- (F) Avoided emissions
- (G) Implied Temperature Rise (ITR)
- (H) Non-ITR measure of portfolio alignment with UNFCCC Paris Agreement goals**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed**
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable
 - https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_ESG_Report_2022.pdf
- (I) Proportion of assets or other business activities aligned with climate-related opportunities**
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed**
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology
 - (2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable
 - https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_ESG_Report_2022.pdf
- (J) Other metrics or variables**

Specify:

 - Portfolio renewable energy consumption.
 - % of portfolio companies introducing waste management policies.
 - (1) Indicate whether this metric or variable was used and disclosed, including the methodology
 - (1) Metric or variable used
 - (2) Metric or variable used and disclosed**
 - (3) Metric or variable used and disclosed, including methodology

(2) Provide link to the disclosed metric or variable, including the methodology followed, as applicable

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_ESG_Report_2022.pdf

- (K) Our organisation did not use or disclose any climate risk metrics or variables affecting our investments during the reporting year

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 46	CORE	N/A	N/A	PRIVATE	Climate change	General

During the reporting year, did your organisation disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, and/or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions?

(A) Scope 1 emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

(2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_ESG_Report_2022.pdf

(B) Scope 2 emissions

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

(2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_ESG_Report_2022.pdf

(C) Scope 3 emissions (including financed emissions)

(1) Indicate whether this metric was disclosed, including the methodology

- (1) Metric disclosed

(2) Metric and methodology disclosed

(2) Provide links to the disclosed metric and methodology, as applicable

https://argos.wityu.fund/wp-content/uploads/esg/en/Argos_Wityu_ESG_Report_2022.pdf

- (D) Our organisation did not disclose its Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions during the reporting year

SUSTAINABILITY OUTCOMES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation identified the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Yes, we have identified one or more specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet identified the sustainability outcomes connected to any of our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.1	CORE	PGS 47	N/A	PRIVATE	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Which widely recognised frameworks has your organisation used to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) The UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and targets
- (B) The UNFCCC Paris Agreement
- (C) The UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs)
- (D) OECD frameworks: OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises and Guidance on Responsible Business Conduct for Institutional Investors
- (E) The EU Taxonomy
- (F) Other relevant taxonomies
- (G) The International Bill of Human Rights
- (H) The International Labour Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work and the eight core conventions
- (I) The Convention on Biological Diversity
- (J) Other international framework(s)
Specify:
CA&ES, TCFD (CRA)
- (K) Other regional framework(s)
- (L) Other sectoral/issue-specific framework(s)
- (M) Our organisation did not use any widely recognised frameworks to identify the intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 47.2	CORE	PGS 47	PGS 48	PRIVATE	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

What are the primary methods that your organisation has used to determine the most important intended and unintended sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities?

- (A) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to our core investment activities
- (B) Consult with key clients and/or beneficiaries to align with their priorities
- (C) Assess which actual or potential negative outcomes for people are most severe based on their scale, scope, and irremediable character
- (D) Identify sustainability outcomes that are closely linked to systematic sustainability issues
- (E) Analyse the input from different stakeholders (e.g. affected communities, civil society, trade unions or similar)
- (F) Understand the geographical relevance of specific sustainability outcome objectives
- (G) Other method
- (H) We have not yet determined the most important sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PGS 48	CORE	PGS 47.2	PGS 48.1, SO 1	PRIVATE	Sustainability outcomes	1, 2

Has your organisation taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to its investment activities, including to prevent and mitigate actual and potential negative outcomes?

- (A) Yes, we have taken action on some of the specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities
- (B) No, we have not yet taken action on any specific sustainability outcomes connected to our investment activities

PRIVATE EQUITY (PE)

POLICY

INVESTMENT GUIDELINES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 1	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Investment guidelines	1 to 6

What private equity-specific ESG guidelines are currently covered in your organisation's responsible investment policy(ies)?

- (A) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the sector(s) and geography(ies) where we invest
- (B) Guidelines on our ESG approach tailored to the strategy(ies) and company stage(s) where we invest, e.g. venture capital, buy-out and distressed
- (C) Guidelines on pre-investment screening
- (D) Guidelines on minimum ESG due diligence requirements
- (E) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into short-term or 100-day plans (or equivalent)
- (F) Guidelines on our approach to ESG integration into long-term value-creation efforts
- (G) Guidelines on our approach to monitoring ESG risks, ESG opportunities and ESG incidents
- (H) Guidelines on our approach to ESG reporting
- (I) Our responsible investment policy(ies) does not cover private equity-specific ESG guidelines

FUNDRAISING

COMMITMENTS TO INVESTORS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 2	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Commitments to investors	1, 4

For all of the funds that you closed during the reporting year, what type of formal responsible investment commitments did you make in Limited Partnership Agreements (LPAs), side letters or other constitutive fund documents?

- (A) We incorporated responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) as a standard default procedure
- (B) We added responsible investment commitments in LPAs (or equivalent) upon clients' request
- (C) We added responsible investment commitments in side letters upon clients' request
- (D) We did not make any formal responsible investment commitments for the relevant reporting year
- (E) Not applicable; we have not raised funds in the last five years

PRE-INVESTMENT

MATERIALITY ANALYSIS

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3	CORE	OO 21	PE 3.1	PRIVATE	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, how did you conduct ESG materiality analysis for your potential private equity investments?

- (A) We assessed ESG materiality at the portfolio company level, as each case is unique
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
 - (B) We performed a mix of industry-level and portfolio company-level ESG materiality analyses
 - (C) We assessed ESG materiality at the industry level only
 - (D) We did not conduct ESG materiality analyses for our potential private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 3.1	CORE	PE 3	N/A	PRIVATE	Materiality analysis	1

During the reporting year, what tools, standards and data did you use in your ESG materiality analysis of potential private equity investments?

- (A) We used GRI standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (B) We used SASB standards to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (C) We used the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (D) We used environmental and social factors detailed in the IFC Performance Standards (or other similar standards used by development-focused financial institutions) in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (E) We used climate disclosures, such as the TCFD recommendations or other climate risk and/or exposure analysis tools, to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (F) We used the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (UNGPs) to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (G) We used geopolitical and macro-economic considerations in our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (H) We engaged with the prospective portfolio company to inform our private equity ESG materiality analysis
- (I) Other

DUE DILIGENCE

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 4	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Due diligence	1

During the reporting year, how did material ESG factors influence the selection of your private equity investments?

- (A) Material ESG factors were used to identify risks**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (B) Material ESG factors were discussed by the investment committee (or equivalent)**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (C) Material ESG factors were used to identify remedial actions for our 100-day plans (or equivalent)**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (D) Material ESG factors were used to identify opportunities for value creation**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (E) Material ESG factors informed our decision to abandon potential investments in the due diligence phase in cases where ESG risks were considered too high to mitigate**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (F) Material ESG factors impacted investments in terms of the price offered and/or paid**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for the majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (G) Material ESG factors did not influence the selection of our private equity investments**

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 5	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Due diligence	1

Once material ESG factors have been identified, what processes do you use to conduct due diligence on these factors for potential private equity investments?

- (A) We do a high-level or desktop review using an ESG checklist for initial red flags**
 Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (B) We send detailed ESG questionnaires to target companies**
 Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (C) We hire third-party consultants to do technical due diligence on specific material ESG factors**
 Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (D) We conduct site visits**
 Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (E) We conduct in-depth interviews with management and/or personnel
- (F) We conduct detailed external stakeholder analyses and/or engagement**
 Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (G) We incorporate ESG due diligence findings in all of our relevant investment process documentation in the same manner as other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting and legal**
 Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (H) Our investment committee (or an equivalent decision-making body) is ultimately responsible for ensuring all ESG due diligence is completed in the same manner as for other key due diligence, e.g. commercial, accounting, and legal**
 Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our potential private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our potential private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our potential private equity investments
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not conduct due diligence on material ESG factors for potential private equity investments

POST-INVESTMENT

MONITORING

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 6	CORE	OO 21	PE 6.1	PRIVATE	Monitoring	1

During the reporting year, did you track one or more KPIs on material ESG factors across your private equity investments?

(A) Yes, we tracked KPIs on environmental factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(B) Yes, we tracked KPIs on social factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

(C) Yes, we tracked KPIs on governance factors

Percentage of portfolio companies this applies to:

- (1) >0 to 10%
- (2) >10 to 50%
- (3) >50 to 75%
- (4) >75 to 95%
- (5) >95%

- (D) We did not track KPIs on material ESG factors across our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 7	CORE	OO 21	PE 7.1	PRIVATE	Monitoring	1, 2

What processes do you have in place to support meeting your targets on material ESG factors for your private equity investments?

(A) We use operational-level benchmarks to assess and analyse the performance of portfolio companies against sector performance

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

- (B) We implement international best practice standards, such as the IFC Performance Standards, to guide ongoing assessments and analyses**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (C) We implement certified environmental and social management systems across our portfolio**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (D) We make sufficient budget available to ensure that the systems and procedures needed are established**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (E) We hire external verification services to audit performance, systems, and procedures**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (F) We conduct ongoing engagement with all key stakeholders at the portfolio company level, e.g. local communities, NGOs, governments, and end-users**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments**
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (G) We implement 100-day plans, ESG roadmaps and similar processes**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (H) Other
 - (I) We do not have processes in place to help meet our targets on material ESG factors for our private equity investments

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 9	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Monitoring	1, 2

Post-investment, how do you manage material ESG risks and ESG opportunities to create value during the holding period of your investments?

- (A) We develop company-specific ESG action plans based on pre-investment research, due diligence and materiality findings**
 - Select from dropdown list
 - (1) for all of our private equity investments**
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (B) We adjust our ESG action plans based on performance monitoring findings at least yearly**

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(C) We, or the external advisors that we hire, support our private equity investments with specific ESG value-creation opportunities

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(D) We engage with the board to manage ESG risks and ESG opportunities post-investment

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(E) Other

- (F) We do not manage material ESG risks and opportunities post-investment

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 12	CORE	OO 21	PE 12.1	PRIVATE	Monitoring	1, 2

How do you ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level?

(A) We assign the board responsibility for ESG matters

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(B) We ensure that material ESG matters are discussed by the board at least yearly

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(C) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to C-suite executives only

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(D) We provide training on ESG aspects and management best practices relevant to the portfolio company to employees (excl. C-suite executives)

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments

(E) We support the portfolio company in developing and implementing its ESG strategy

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (F) We support portfolio companies by finding external ESG expertise, e.g. consultants or auditors
- Select from dropdown list
- (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (G) We share best practices across portfolio companies, e.g. educational sessions or the implementation of environmental and social management systems
- Select from dropdown list
- (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (H) We include penalties or incentives to improve ESG performance in management remuneration schemes
- Select from dropdown list
- (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (I) Other
- (J) We do not ensure that adequate ESG-related competence exists at the portfolio company level

EXIT

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 13	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Exit	4, 6

During the reporting year, what responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments?

- (A) Our firm's high-level commitment to responsible investment, e.g. that we are a PRI signatory
- Select from dropdown list
- (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (B) A description of what industry and asset class standards our firm aligns with, e.g. TCFD
- (C) Our firm's responsible investment policy (at minimum, a summary of key aspects and firm-specific approach)
- Select from dropdown list
- (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (D) Our firm's ESG risk assessment methodology (topics covered in-house and/or with external support)
- Select from dropdown list
- (1) for all of our private equity investments
 - (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
 - (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (E) The outcome of our latest ESG risk assessment on the asset or portfolio company

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (F) Key ESG performance data on the asset or portfolio company being sold

Select from dropdown list

- (1) for all of our private equity investments
- (2) for a majority of our private equity investments
- (3) for a minority of our private equity investments
- (G) Other
- (H) No responsible investment information was shared with potential buyers of private equity investments during the reporting year
- (I) Not applicable; we had no sales process (or control over the sales process) during the reporting year

DISCLOSURE OF ESG PORTFOLIO INFORMATION

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
PE 14	CORE	OO 21	N/A	PRIVATE	Disclosure of ESG portfolio information	6

During the reporting year, how did you report your targets on material ESG factors and related data to your investors?

- (A) We used a publicly disclosed sustainability report
- (B) We reported in aggregate through formal reporting to investors
- (C) We reported at the portfolio company level through formal reporting to investors
- (D) We reported through a limited partners advisory committee (or equivalent)
- (E) We reported back at digital or physical events or meetings with investors
- (F) We had a process in place to ensure that reporting on serious ESG incidents occurred
- (G) Other
- (H) We did not report our targets on material ESG factors and related data to our investors during the reporting year

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES (CBM)

CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

APPROACH TO CONFIDENCE-BUILDING MEASURES

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 1	CORE	N/A	Multiple indicators	PRIVATE	Approach to confidence-building measures	6

How did your organisation verify the information submitted in your PRI report this reporting year?

- (A) We conducted independent third-party assurance of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report, which resulted in a formal assurance conclusion
- (B) We conducted a third-party readiness review and are making changes to our internal controls or governance processes to be able to conduct independent third-party assurance next year
- (C) We conducted an internal audit of selected processes and/or data related to the responsible investment processes reported in our PRI report
- (D) Our board, trustees (or equivalent), senior executive-level staff (or equivalent), and/or investment committee (or equivalent) signed off on our PRI report
- (E) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings to verify that our funds comply with our responsible investment policy
- (F) We conducted an external ESG audit of our holdings as part of risk management, engagement identification or investment decision-making
- (G) Our responses in selected sections and/or the entirety of our PRI report were internally reviewed before submission to the PRI
 - (H) We did not verify the information submitted in our PRI report this reporting year

INTERNAL REVIEW

Indicator	Type of indicator	Dependent on	Gateway to	Disclosure	Subsection	PRI Principle
CBM 6	CORE	CBM 1	N/A	PRIVATE	Internal review	6

Who in your organisation reviewed the responses submitted in your PRI report this year?

- (A) Board, trustees, or equivalent
- (B) Senior executive-level staff, investment committee, head of department, or equivalent
 - Sections of PRI report reviewed
 - (1) the entire report
 - (2) selected sections of the report
 - (C) None of the above internal roles reviewed selected sections or the entirety of the responses submitted in our PRI report this year